Preserve Preserved

App. F. IX-4

Previous References 193 5. (Mezrai) File No. 33 – 31 Serial Nos. 1 – 2

Later References.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for August – 31

SUBJECT.

Cancellation of the minor lease of S.no 66 of Arkalgud endowed to Sri Agastheswara Swamy temple at Ramanathapura

To the Mez Cmr
Complete
Dy Cmr Has
No. 9.46 & ff 20 8 – 31

8/2

WD 01965—GPB—15,000—7-7-34

Sanctions the above cancellation & disposal of the lands for the best advantage of the Temple

cancellation of inam tenure of S. No 66/3 Alkalpod endowed to Sri Agastheswaraswami Temple at Ramanathapuram of 1935?

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Memory File No.

Serial No.

A

Letter No 14/35-36 dated 18.7.35 from the M.C in ellipse

Requests sanction to the proposal of the D.C. Hassan ot to cancel the inam tenure of S. No 66 of Alkalpod endowed to Sri Agastheswaraswami Temple at Ramanathapuram and to dispose of the land outright to the best advantage of the Temple

(1) The facts of the case are set forth in Hassan Deputy Comm's letter of the 29th June 1935. The recommendation is submitted for orders

(2) If the inam tenure is continued and sold, the purchaser of the land will realize not only the value of the crop raised on it but also the assessment payable on it, as the jodi payable on the land is only Re 1-00, while the assessment fixed on the land is Rs 31-00. Purchasers of the land are therefore likely to pay a higher price for the land, if the inam tenure of the land is retained. It is therefore a point for consideration whether the cancellation of the inam tenure is in the

These are Devadaya Inams and Mada Inam tenures Such cases in Coorg

NOTE—Contn Sheet

File No. 33 of 1935 | General and Revenue Secretariat

of the temple.

4) The recommendation of [illegible] may be sanctd.

8
27/7/35

[illegible]

Payne

I. Finlay

[illegible] 3/8/35

5/8

[illegible]

I should [illegible] is [illegible]

[illegible]

9/8

DEPT. 23 JULY 1935 738

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 5 AUG. 1935 No 9564

DRAFTING SECTION AUG. 1935

Mog 33-35-1

M C 13.] No. 17/35-36

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER,
IN MYSORE,

Dated, Bangalore,... 15th July 1935.

FROM

N. MADHAVA RAO, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

H. V. Ramaswami, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General Department.

SIR,

Cancellation of the inam tenure of S.No.66 of Arkal[illegible] endowed to Sri Agastheswaraswami Temple at Ramonathapur.

I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No. [illegible] dated 28-6-1935 from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District, together with the file of correspondence relating to the above.

2. The inam land S.No.66 consists of dry, wet and garden portions as noted in the margin. It is seen from the Deputy Commissioner's report that fair bids were not secured at the lease sales, partly owing to the combinations of the villagers and partly to the neglected condition of the land.

S.No.	kind.	Extent. A.	G.	Assessment.	Jodi
66	Garden	4	19	Rs 24-8-0	Re.1-0-0
	Dry	1	14	" 3-8-0	
	Wet	0	21	" 3-0-0	

3. As there is already an offer for Rs 1000/- from one Mr. Subbaiah Setty and as the Dharmadarsis are of opinion that the land will fetch about Rs 2000/- if sold devoid of the inam tenure, the Deputy Commissioner's proposal to cancel the inam tenure and to dispose of the land outright to the best advantage of the temple may kindly be sanctioned.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
[illegible]
Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.C.1551-33 dated 29-6-35 from the Deputy Commissioner, (Hassan District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Subject:- Re. the lease of S.No.66 of Arkalgud Kasba, an inam land belonging to the Sri Agastheswaraswami temple at Paranathapur.

With reference to your No.R.Dis.304/33-34 dated 1st/3rd May 1934 on the above subject, I have the honor to forward herewith the records coming with the Hassan Sub Division officer's No.C3-1973-33 dated 3rd June 1935 and to state as follows:-

2. During the course of the lease sale held by the Taluk Sheristadar on 6-6-1934 one A. Srikantiah purchased the lease for an annual gutta of R 35/- and this lessee deposited one year's gutta amount of R 30/- on the same day. A. S. Sitharamiah S/o Kadim Sitaramiah of Arkalgud having presented an application on 8-6-1934 before the Amildar stating that he was not aware of the sale and that he was prepared to take up the lease for an annual gutta of R 35/- or to offer higher bid, if ordered to be leased out again, the Amildar obtained R 10/- towards deposit and recommended that the sale held might be cancelled and orders passed for leasing out the land afresh taking the offer of A. S. Sitharamiah for R 35/- as the first bid. Thereupon the lease sale held on 6-6-34 was cancelled and a re-sale was ordered to be held. The Amildar held the re-sale on 8-8-34 and the abovenamed A. S. Sitharamiah bid for annual gutta of R 41/- but this sale was not confirmed. On 11-8-34 this bidder presented an application before the Amildar that R 10/- tendered towards deposit on 9-6-1934 at the time of the original sale might be refunded to him as his bid was not accepted, in order to help the previous bidder, A. Srikantiah, A. Srikantiah, the previous bidder, has executed a mutchalika on 11-8-34 stating that he is prepared to take up the lease for an annual gutta of R. from 35-36 besides the payment of jodi and cesses amounting to R 6-13-0, provided he is allowed to grow wet crop as the arecanut and cocoanut trees are either dead or are not yielding and allow Land Improvement Fees of R 30/-.

On a report submitted to the Sub Division officer, stating that in connection with the appointment of archak to the Sri Agastheswaraswami temple, Arkalgud, the Deputy Commissioner has already reported that the [illegible] ramiah and his sons are all rowdies and bad characters having figured in many criminal cases etc., he felt doubt about the receipt of the lease amount from him and reserved the sale without getting [illegible] and submitted the file after obtaining a mutchalika from A. Sitharamiah, who is a respectable shanbhogue and whose gutta amount can be realised [illegible]

The Sub Division officer ordered that as the sale [illegible] had not been concluded, the balance of the amount [illegible] Sitharamiah and re-held sale.

[illegible] is made to A. S. Sitharamiah for the production of the amount, the Revenue Inspector has returned the same with the remark that he is not able to produce it.

Notification was also issued fixing the lease sale to 30-1-1935 for 30 years from 1935-36 and one Lutukanigetti has offered a bid for Rs. 30/- and that one Ramasetti has stated that he is prepared to offer bid of Rs. 30/- if he is allowed to cultivate the land with wet crops.

Again, on 12- -1935, a notification was issued fixing the date of sale to 30-3-1935, and in this, the previous bidder A. S. Sitharamiah has remarked that it has come to his knowledge that A. Srikantiah is willing to take up the lease for an annual gutta of Rs. 50/- and if it is a fact he does not want the land. The Amildar has actually held the sale on 3-4-1935 and no bids were secured but there is a remark that the bidders who were present, were desirous of having the land leased out for 30 years.

One Chinniah Setty [illegible] of Amalgud has given a statement before the Amildar on 14-4-1935 that if the inam tenure of the land is cancelled and the same is given to him, he is prepared to pay Rs. 1000/- and if it is ordered to be sold in public auction, he is prepared to offer Rs. 500/- as his first bid.

The Dharmadarsis who were consulted, have resolved that this may be ordered to be sold outright, as it is expected that there will be a realisation of Rs. 2,000/- and that the purchase of wet lands under some nala ordere

Both the Amildar and the Sub Division Officer recommend that as some satisfactory bid has not been secured, the land may be ordered to be sold outright after the cancellation of the inam tenure.

Under the above circumstances, I request you to be so good as to obtain sanction of Government for the outright sale of the inam land after cancelling the inam tenure.

"C O P Y"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Read:-

Letter No.17/35-36 dated 15th July 1935 from the Inam Commissioner in Mysore recommending sanction in the circumstances explained therein to the proposal of the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District to cancel the inam tenure of 3.00.00 of Arkalgud consisting of dry, wet and garden lands as noted in the margin, endowed to Sri Kasthe-

S.No.	Kind	Extent A.	Extent G.	Assessment	Kodi
66	Garden	4	19	Rs 24-2-3	
	Dry	1	14	" 3-8-0	Rs1-3-0
	Wet	0	21	" 3-0-0	

swaraswami temple at Kondhalpur and to dispose of the land to the best advantage for the temple.

Order No. 246-8/Muz 33-35-2 Inm 1411/35 BM-C

Dated, Bangalore, the 14th August 1935.

The proposals of the Inam Commissioner are sanctioned.

for General Secretary to Government.

To

The Inam Commissioner in Mysore with records
The Comptroller to Government of Mysore
The Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District.

G. R. S. App. F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Section Forests

File No. 33-35

Serial No. 2

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 2-3-1935

20-8-35

Signed by H. B. Muddukrishnappa

Read— Letter No 17/35-36 dt 15.7.1935 from the M. C. in Mysore recommending sanction in the circumstances explained therein, to the proposal in the C. Hassan Dy. to cancel the sandal trees Nos. 1 to 16 of Arkalgud Range

Order thereon.—No. [illegible] granting sandal [illegible] in the Range, in lieu of the [illegible] Rs. 1000/- to be remitted to the credit of the Govt. [illegible]

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette Kannada Part ?

It is in para 2 of the Order

the Temple

The proposals 246-8 Encl to file
The recommendations of
the M.C are sanctioned. GM.C

£10/8
Mr Gault Temple left
12/9/18

The M.C in Mesopotamia records
" Comptroller
" D.C. Hansard

List of Enclosure

Copied by BG 12-8

Examined by

WD 0158—GPB—15 0.0—13 8 34